IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND SIX

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

WRIT PETITION No.10008 OF 2006

Between:

K.Varalakshmi and others.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

The Puttur Municipal Office, Puttur,
Rep. by its Commissioner, Puttur,
And others.

....RESPONDENTS

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE
P.S.NARAYANA

WRIT PETITION NO.10008 OF 2006

O R D E R

-
-

On 23.04.2006 while ordering notice before admission, this Court recorded as hereunder:

> "Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Standing Counsel for the Municipalities.
>
> The learned counsel for the petitioners apprehends that under the guise of violation of the rules, the municipality is contemplating to demolish the entire house
>
> The learned counsel for the respondents represented across the bar that the Municipality is not contemplating any further demolition of the house and already demolition had taken place to the extent, to which the impugned notice was issued. The representation of the learned counsel for the respondents is recorded.
>
> Post after vacation i.e., on 06-06-2006."

Subsequent thereafter and couple of adjournments, on 03.11.2006 Rule nisi was issued and it was stated that there is a connected W.P.No.11116 of 2006 and hence it was directed that this writ petition be listed along with the said writ petition.

It is stated that in the light of the statement already recorded by this Court that the respondent-Municipality is not contemplating to proceed with

any further with demolition of the house in question, no further orders need be passed in this writ petition.

Accordingly, the writ petition is closed. No costs.

JUSTICE P.S.NARAYANA

16th NOVEMBER, 2006
PGS

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE
P.S.NARAYANA

WRIT PETITION NO.10008 OF 2006

16th NOVEMBER, 2006